AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT	u.s. DISTRICT SE
		District of	NEBRA	SKA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2001 APR -3 PM
	V.		OF DETENTION I	PENDING TRIAL
	JAIME CHAVEZ-VILLASENOR Defendant	Case Number	r: 4:07MJ3010-DLP	Office or rese
In detenti	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § : ion of the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that th	ne following facts require the
— (1) The defendant is the day of the control of the co	Part I—Findings of Fact		
□ (·	 The defendant is charged with an offense describ or local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence 	ffense if a circumstance giving ris	has been convicted of a [see to federal jurisdiction had	federal offense state existed that is
	an offense for which a maximum term of imp	prisonment of ten years or more i	s prescribed in	
	a felony that was committed after the defender § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or leading to the comparable state of	ant had been convicted of two or	more prior federal offenses	described in 18 U.S.C.
☐ (2 ☐ (3	The offense described in finding (1) was committed to the offense described in finding (1).	ed while the defendant was on re	elease pending trial for a fede	eral, state or local offense. ant from imprisonment
□ (4	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
☐ (I		Alternative Findings (A)	•	•
□ (•	for which a maximum term of imprisonment	ant has committed an offense of ten years or more is prescribed	l in	
□ (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es			. 1742 211
	the appearance of the defendant as required and the	ne safety of the community.	dition of combination of con	iditions will reasonably assure
\Box \Box	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	Alternative Findings (B)		
	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
I fi	Part II—Writ and that the credible testimony and information subm	ten Statement of Reasons fo		
derance	of the evidence that	•		ng evidence a prepon-
	Det. Waived	hig + agn	eed to det	ention.
	TCE detaine	- lodged		
reasonal Governi	Part III- e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving ble opportunity for private consultation with defens ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility exection with a court proceeding.	e coursel. Or order of a court of	sentative for confinement in a ody pending appeal. The de of the United States or on red United States marshal for the	efendant shall be afforded a
	Date	Signal	ture of Judicial Officer	
			ster, U.S. Magistrate Judge	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).